Supreme Court of India

State Of Punjab & Ors vs Rafiq Masih (White Washer) on 18 December, 2014 Bench: Jagdish Singh Khehar, Arun Mishra

"REPORTABLE"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11527 OF 2014 (Arising out of SLP(C) No.11684 of 2012)

State of Punjab and others etc. Appellants

versus

Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc.

... Respondent(s)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11528 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No 35892	CC	No.
14663 of 2010] CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11530 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35914	. CC	No.
20144 of 2010]		NO.
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11531 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35916	СС	No.
9303 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11532 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35917	CC	No.
15876 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11533 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35919	CC	No.
16190 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11534 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35920	CC	No.
16303 of 2011]		NI -
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11535 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35921	CC	No.
16309 of 2011] CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11536 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35923	СС	No.
16325 of 2011]	CC	NO.
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11537 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35924	СС	No.
16326 of 2011]	-	
CIVIL APPEAL NO.11538 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35927	CC	No.
16327 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11539 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35928	CC	No.
16350 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11540 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35930	CC	No.
16548 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11541 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35931	CC	No.
16580 of 2011]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11542 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35932	CC	No.
16582 of 2011]	cc	No
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11543 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35936 16594 of 2011]	CC	No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11544 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35940	СС	No.
CIVIL ATTEM NO. 11344 Of 2014 [ATTSING OUT OF SET (C) NO. 33340		110.

```
16723 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11545 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35941 CC No.
16850 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11546 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                          35943
                                                                  CC No.
16904 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11547 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                          35944
                                                                  CC No.
17192 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11548 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                           35945
                                                                      No.
17193 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11549 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35948
                                                                  CC No.
17201 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11550 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                           35949
                                                                  CC No.
17204 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11551 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                           35951 CC
17388 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11552 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35952 CC No.
17507 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11553 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35954 CC
17508 of 20111
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11554 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35955 CC No.
17534 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11555 OF
                           2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                35956 CC
No.17709 of 2011]
                                 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                35957 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11556 OF
                           2014
No.17711 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                           2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                35958 CC
                 11557 OF
No.17735 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11558 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35959 CC No.
17798 of 20111
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11559 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                35960 CC
No.17835 of 2011]
                  11560 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35961 CC No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
17846 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11561 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35962 CC No.
17888 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11562 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35963 CC
                                                                     No.
18227 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11563 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35964
                                                                  CC No.
18261 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11564 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35965 CC No.
18286 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11565 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35967 CC No.18310
of 20111
CIVIL APPEAL NO.11566 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35968 CC No.18312
of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11567 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35969
                                                                  CC No.
18337 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11568 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35971 CC No.
18423 of 2011]
                       OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11569
                                                           35972 CC No.
18524 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11570 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35973 CC No.
18525 of 2011]
```

```
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11571 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35975 CC No.
18526 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35976 CC
                  11572
No.18527 of 2011]
                 11573 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                       CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                               35977
No.18535 of 2011]
                 11575 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                       CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                               35980
No.18536 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11574 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                              35979
                                                                       CC
No.18628 of 2011]
                  11576 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                               35981
                                                                       CC
No.18630 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11577 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                               35982
                                                                       CC
                                                          No.
No.18767 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11578 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                          35983
18769 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11579 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                                       CC
                                                          No.
                                                               35984
No.18784 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11580 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                       CC
                                                               35985
No.18796 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11581 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35986
                                                                       CC
No.18802 of 20111
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11582 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                       CC
No.18805 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11583 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35989
                                                                  CC
                                                                     No.
18834 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11584 OF 2014 [rising out of SLP(C) No. 35990
                                                                  CC
                                                                     No.
18857 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11585
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.35991
                                                                       CC
No.18960 of 2011]
                 11586 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35993
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                                  CC No.
19116 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11587 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35994 CC
19236 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11588 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35995
                                                                  CC
                                                                      No.
19527 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11589 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                          35996
                                                                     No.
19552 of 2011]
                  11590 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                          35997
                                                                  CC No.
19556 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11591 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                35999 CC
No.19580 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11593 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                          36000
                                                                  CC No.
19590 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11594 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                                       CC
                                                          No.
                                                               36001
No.19594 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11595 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                          36002
                                                                  CC No.
19597 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11596 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                               36003
                                                                       CC
No.19599 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11597
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36004
No.19601 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11598 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                               36005
                                                                       CC
```

```
No.19663 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11599 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36007
                                                                   CC No.
19727 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11600 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36008
                                                                   CC No.
19837 of 2011]
                 11601 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36010
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                                        CC
No.19864 of 20111
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11602 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36011
                                                                       No.
20022 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11603 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36012
                                                                      No.
20024 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11605 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36014
                                                                   \mathsf{CC}
                                                                      No.
20048 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11606 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                36015
                                                                        CC
No.20291 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11607 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                               36016
                                                                        CC
No.20454 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11608
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                 36018
                                                                        \mathsf{CC}
No.20794 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11609
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36019
                                                                        CC
No.20891 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11610 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                        CC
                                                                36022
No.21915 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11611
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36024
                                                                      No.
22255 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11612 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                        CC
                                                                36026
No.22256 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11613
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                36027
                                                                        CC
No.22257 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11614
                       OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 30473 of 2011]
                  11615 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 33651 of 2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11616 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 35876 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
2011]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11617 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36029 CC No.
133 of 2012]
                  11618 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36030 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                                       No.
178 of 2012]
                  11619 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                            36031 CC
434 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11620 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36032 CC No.
                                                                       887
of 2012]
                 11621 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                            36033 CC
                                                                      No.
1147 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11622 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36034
                                                                       No.
1166 of 2012]
                 11623 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36036 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
1168 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11624 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                            36037 CC No.
1188 of 2012]
                 11625 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                            36038 CC No.
1200 of 2012]
                 11626 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                            36039 CC No.
1291 of 2012]
```

```
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11627 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                            36040 CC No.
1303 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11628 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                            36042 CC No.
1306 of 2012]
                  11629 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36043 CC No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
1391 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11630 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                             36044 C
1596 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11631 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                            36045
1637 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11632 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36046 CC No.
1644 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11633 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                            36047 CC No.
1653 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11636 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                            36048 CC No.
1657 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11637 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36049 CC
1739 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36051 CC No.
                  11638
1864 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11639 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36052 CC
1869 of 20121
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11640 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36053 CC
1928 of 2012]
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36054 CC No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11641
1935 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11642 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36055 CC No.
2209 of 20121
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11643 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36056 CC No.
2798 of 2012]
                   11644 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36058 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
2818 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11645 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36059 CC
2821 of 2012]
                    11646 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36062 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
2832 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11647 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11648 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36063 CC No.
6093 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36065 CC No.
                  11649
6483 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11650 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36067 CC No.
6604 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.11651-52 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) Nos36068-69
                                                                        CC
Nos.6632-6633 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11653
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36070
6659 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11654
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 6692 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11655
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36071 CC No.
6800 of 2012]
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36072 CC No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                 11656
6829 of 2012]
```

```
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11657 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                              36073 CC No.
10109 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 11690 of 2012]
                  11658
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11659
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 11693 of 2012]
                    11660 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.11694 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11661
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                                    11697 of
20121
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11662
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    11699
                                                                           of
2012]
                                                               No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11663
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                                    11702 of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                          of
                   11664
                                                                    11703
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11665
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    11705
                                                                           of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11666
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    11706
                                                                          of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11667
                            OF 2014 [Arising out
                                                  of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                              No.
                                                                    11707
                                                                           of
2012]
                  11668
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                               No.
                                                                    11709
                                                                          of
2012]
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11669
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    11710
                                                                           of
2012]
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11670
                                                              No.
                                                                    11712 of
2012]
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36086 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11671
12769 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11672
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36089 CC
13044 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11673
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36091 CC
13114 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11674
                         OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
                                                              36092 CC No.
13300 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11675
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 26306 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11676
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 26307 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 26308 of 2012]
                  11677
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11678
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 26386 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11679
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 26388 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11680
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 26389 of 2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                  11681
                                                              No.
                                                                    26391 of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11682
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                              No.
                                                                    28655
                                                                          of
2012]
                           OF 2014 [Arising out
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11683
                                                  of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    28812
                                                                           of
2012]
                           OF 2014 [Arising
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11684
                                             out
                                                  of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    28813
                                                                           of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of
                  11685
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    28814 of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11686
                           OF 2014 [Arising
                                             out
                                                  of
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                              No.
                                                                    28815
                                                                           of
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11687
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
2012]
```

			,		`	,		,			
	APPEAL	NO.	11688	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28817	of
2012] CIVIL	APPEAL	NO.	11689	0F 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28818	of
2012]	77			00_	. [===g	0	•				•
	APPEAL	NO.	11713	OF 2014	l (Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28819	of
2012] CTVTI	APPEAL	NO.	11717	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28823	of
2012]	711 1 2712		11/1/	01 201	. [/// ISING	ouc	0.	SLI (C)	1101	20023	0.
	APPEAL	NO.	11720	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28824	of
2012]	APPEAL	NO	11721	0E 201/	l [Arising	out	٥f	SLP(C)	No	20025	of
2012]	APPEAL	NU.	11721	UF 2012	+ [ALISING	out	01	SLP(C)	No.	28825	01
	APPEAL	NO.	11723	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28827	of
2012]							_	a: = (a)			_
2012]	APPEAL	NO.	11/24	OF 2012	l [Arising	out	0†	SLP(C)	No.	28828	of
-	APPEAL	NO.	11727	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	28829	of
2012]											
	APPEAL	NO.	11729	0F 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	30246	of
2012]	APPEAL	NO	11731	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	30751	of
2012]	711 1 2712		11751	01 201	i [//i IJIng	ouc	0.	SLI (C)	1101	30731	0.
	APPEAL	NO.	11735	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	33343	of
2012]	APPEAL	NO	117/1	0E 201/	1 [Aricina	011+	٥f	CLD(C)	No	22245	of
2012]	APPEAL	NU.	11741	UF 2012	l [Arising	out	01	SLP(C)	No.	33345	01
	APPEAL	NO.	11743	OF 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	33347	of
2012]							_				_
CIVIL 2012]	APPEAL	NO.	11744	0F 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	33348	of
_	APPEAL	NO.	11752	0F 2014	l [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	33350	of
2012]					- 3			, ,			
	APPEAL	NO.	11754	0F 201	l4 [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	33352	of
2012]	ΔΡΡΕΔΙ	NΟ	11755	OF 201	L4 [Arising	out	٥f	SLP(C)	No	33353	٥f
2012]	ALLEAL	110.	11755	01 20	LT [ALISING	out	01	SLI (C)	110.	33333	01
	APPEAL	NO.	11770	OF 201	l4 [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	33354	of
2012]	APPEAL	NΟ	11772	0E 201	L4 [Arising	011+	٥f	CLD/C)	No	33356	٥f
2012]	APPEAL	NU.	11//2	UF 20.	14 [AIISING	out	01	SLP(C)	No.	33330	of
	APPEAL	NO.	11773	OF 201	L 4 [Arisin	g out	of	SLP(C)	No.	35328	of
2012]			44700	0= 001			,	SI D (S)		27140	,
2012]	APPEAL	NO.	11790	0F 201	L4 [Arising	out	0†	SLP(C)	No.	37149	of
	APPEAL	NO.	11791	0F 20	L4 [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	37151	of
2012]					_						
	APPEAL	NO.	11794	0F 201	l4 [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	37152	of
2012]	APPEAL	NΟ	11795	0F 201	l4 (Arising	011+	٥f	SIP(C)	No.	37153	of
2012]	MILAL	110 1	11/33	01 20.	i (Alising	out	01	JLI (C)	110.	5,155	01
CIVIL	APPEAL	NO.	11798	OF 201	l4 [Arising	out	of	SLP(C)	No.	37154	of
2012]	٨٥٥٠٨٠	NO	11000	05 201	14 [[] []	a+	۰.۲	CLD/C)	Ne	20202	۰.۲
CIVIL	APPEAL	NU.	11800	UF 20.	L4 [Arising	out	OΤ	SLP(C)	NO.	39202	of

```
2012]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11802
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 519 of 2013]
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 523 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11806
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11807
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 524 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 36111 CC No.
                   11810
2335 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11812
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                     5751 of
20131
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11814
                            OF 2014 [Arising
                                              out
                                                   of
                                                       SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                     5753
                                                                           of
2013]
                            OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11816
                                                                     5765 of
2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11818
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 5810 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11819
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 5821 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11821
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 5838 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11836
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
No.6861 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 11837
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9907 of 2013]
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9909 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                  11838
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11839
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9911 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11840
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9912 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9913 of 2013]
                   11841
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11842
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9914 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9915 of 2013]
                   11843
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9916 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                   11844
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                          OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9918 of 2013]
                   11845
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11846 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 10927 of 2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11848
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    10928 of
20131
                                                                           of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11850
                           OF 2014 [Arising out
                                                  of
                                                       SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    10929
2013]
                           OF 2014 [Arising
                                                      SLP(C)
                                                               No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11853
                                            out
                                                  of
                                                                    10930
                                                                           of
2013]
                    11854 OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                      SLP(C)
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                               No.
                                                                    10931 of
20131
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                    11857
                           OF 2014 [Arising out of
                                                       SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    10933
                                                                           of
2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                     11859 OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    10934
                                                                          of
2013]
                     11861
                              OF 2014 (Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                           of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                                    10935
2013]
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                     11863
                              OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
                                                               No.
                                                                    10936
                                                                           of
2013]
                     11864
                              OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C)
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                                                               No.
                                                                    10938
                                                                           of
2013]
                               OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                      11866
                                                                    10939
                                                                           of
2013]
                               OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                      11868
                                                                    10940
                                                                           of
2013]
                               OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                      11870
                                                                    10941
2013]
                               OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.10942 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.
                      11873
2013]
```

	,	
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2013]	11875	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 10943 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11876	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 11068 of
2013]	11070	OF 2014 [Amising out of CLD/C) No. 11060 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2013]	11878	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 11069 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11879	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 11072 of
2013]	11001	OF 2014 [Aminima out of CLR/C) No. 12021 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2013]	11881	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 13021 of
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11883	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 13023 of
2013]		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11884	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 14780 of
2013]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11885	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 14782 of
2013] CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11847	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15299
of 2013]	11047	01 2014 [AT151119 OUT 01 SEF(C) NO. 15299
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11849	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15300
of 2013]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11851	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15301
of 2013]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11852	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15302
of 2013] CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11855	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15303
of 2013]	11055	01 2014 [AllSing out 01 3EF(C) No. 15505
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11856	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15305
of 2013]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11858	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.15307
of 2013]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11860	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 15852
of 2013] CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11862	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 17618
of 2013]	11002	01 2014 [ATTSTING OUT 01 3ET (C) NO. 17010
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11865	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 18880
of 2013]		-
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11867	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 19469
of 2013]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO. of 2013]	11869	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 20529
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11872	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 20830
of 2013]	11072	01 2011 [/// 1311/g 001 01 31/ (c) 1101 20030
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11874	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 21492
of 2013]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11877	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 21554
of 2013]	11000	OF 2014 [Amining out of CLD(C) No 20124 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO. No. 3626 of 2014]	11880	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.36124 CC
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11882	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No.8103
of 2014]		
CIVIL APPEAL NO.	11886	OF 2014 [Arising out of SLP(C) No. 11704
of 2012]		

JUDGMENT

Jagdish Singh Khehar, J.

- 1. Leave granted.
- 2. All the private respondents in the present bunch of cases, were given monetary benefits, which were in excess of their entitlement. These benefits flowed to them, consequent upon a mistake committed by the concerned competent authority, in determining the emoluments payable to them. The mistake could have occurred on account of a variety of reasons; including the grant of a status, which the concerned employee was not entitled to; or payment of salary in a higher scale, than in consonance of the right of the concerned employee; or because of a wrongful fixation of salary of the employee, consequent upon the upward revision of pay-scales; or for having been granted allowances, for which the concerned employee was not authorized. The long and short of the matter is, that all the private respondents were beneficiaries of a mistake committed by the employer, and on account of the said unintentional mistake, employees were in receipt of monetary benefits, beyond their due.
- 3. Another essential factual component in this bunch of cases is, that the respondent-employees were not guilty of furnishing any incorrect information, which had led the concerned competent authority, to commit the mistake of making the higher payment to the employees. The payment of higher dues to the private respondents, in all these cases, was not on account of any misrepresentation made by them, nor was it on account of any fraud committed by them. Any participation of the private respondents, in the mistake committed by the employer, in extending the undeserved monetary benefits to the respondent-employees, is totally ruled out. It would therefore not be incorrect to record, that the private respondents, were as innocent as their employers, in the wrongful determination of their inflated emoluments.
- 4. The issue that we have been required to adjudicate is, whether all the private respondents, against whom an order of recovery (of the excess amount) has been made, should be exempted in law, from the reimbursement of the same to the employer. For the applicability of the instant order, and the conclusions recorded by us hereinafter, the ingredients depicted in the foregoing two paragraphs are essentially indispensable.
- 5. Merely on account of the fact, that the release of these monetary benefits was based on a mistaken belief at the hands of the employer, and further, because the employees had no role in the determination of the employer, could it be legally feasible, for the private respondents to assert, that they should be exempted from refunding the excess amount received by them? Insofar as the above issue is concerned, it is necessary to keep in mind, that the following reference was made by a Division Bench of two Judges of this Court, for consideration by a larger Bench: "In view of an apparent difference of views expressed on the one hand in Shyam Babu Verma and Ors. vs. Union of India & Ors. (1994) 2 SCC 521 and Sahib Ram Verma vs. State of Haryana (1995) Supp. 1 SCC 18; and on the other hand in Chandi Prasad Uniyal and Ors. vs. State of Uttarakhand & Ors. (2012) 8 SCC 417, we are of the view that the remaining special leave petitions should be placed before a

Bench of Three Judges. The Registry is accordingly directed to place the file of the remaining special leave petitions before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India for taking instructions for the constitution of a Bench of three Judges, to adjudicate upon the present controversy."

(emphasis is ours) The aforesaid reference was answered by a Division Bench of three Judges on 8.7.2014. While disposing of the reference, the three-Judge Division Bench, recorded the following observations in paragraph 7: "7. In our considered view, the observations made by the Court not to recover the excess amount paid to the appellant-therein were in exercise of its extra-ordinary powers under Article 142 of the Constitution of India which vest the power in this Court to pass equitable orders in the ends of justice."

(emphasis is ours) Having recorded the above observations, the reference was answered as under:

"12. Therefore, in our opinion, the decisions of the Court based on different scales of Article 136 and Article 142 of the Constitution of India cannot be best weighed on the same grounds of reasoning and thus in view of the aforesaid discussion, there is no conflict in the views expressed in the first two judgments and the latter judgment.

13. In that view of the above, we are of the considered opinion that reference was unnecessary. Therefore, without answering the reference, we send back the matters to the Division Bench for its appropriate disposal."

(emphasis is ours)

- 6. In view of the conclusions extracted hereinabove, it will be our endeavour, to lay down the parameters of fact situations, wherein employees, who are beneficiaries of wrongful monetary gains at the hands of the employer, may not be compelled to refund the same. In our considered view, the instant benefit cannot extend to an employee merely on account of the fact, that he was not an accessory to the mistake committed by the employer; or merely because the employee did not furnish any factually incorrect information, on the basis whereof the employer committed the mistake of paying the employee more than what was rightfully due to him; or for that matter, merely because the excessive payment was made to the employee, in absence of any fraud or misrepresentation at the behest of the employee.
- 7. Having examined a number of judgments rendered by this Court, we are of the view, that orders passed by the employer seeking recovery of monetary benefits wrongly extended to employees, can only be interfered with, in cases where such recovery would result in a hardship of a nature, which would far outweigh, the equitable balance of the employer's right to recover. In other words, interference would be called for, only in such cases where, it would be iniquitous to recover the payment made. In order to ascertain the parameters of the above consideration, and the test to be applied, reference needs to be made to situations when this Court exempted employees from such recovery, even in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 142 of the Constitution of India. Repeated exercise of such power, "for doing complete justice in any cause" would establish that the recovery being effected was iniquitous, and therefore, arbitrary. And accordingly, the interference at the

hands of this Court.

- 8. As between two parties, if a determination is rendered in favour of the party, which is the weaker of the two, without any serious detriment to the other (which is truly a welfare State), the issue resolved would be in consonance with the concept of justice, which is assured to the citizens of India, even in the preamble of the Constitution of India. The right to recover being pursued by the employer, will have to be compared, with the effect of the recovery on the concerned employee. If the effect of the recovery from the concerned employee would be, more unfair, more wrongful, more improper, and more unwarranted, than the corresponding right of the employer to recover the amount, then it would be iniquitous and arbitrary, to effect the recovery. In such a situation, the employee's right would outbalance, and therefore eclipse, the right of the employer to recover.
- 9. The doctrine of equality is a dynamic and evolving concept having many dimensions. The embodiment of the doctrine of equality, can be found in Articles 14 to 18, contained in Part III of the Constitution of India, dealing with "Fundamental Rights". These Articles of the Constitution, besides assuring equality before the law and equal protection of the laws; also disallow, discrimination with the object of achieving equality, in matters of employment; abolish untouchability, to upgrade the social status of an ostracized section of the society; and extinguish titles, to scale down the status of a section of the society, with such appellations. The embodiment of the doctrine of equality, can also be found in Articles 38, 39, 39A, 43 and 46 contained in Part IV of the Constitution of India, dealing with the "Directive Principles of State Policy". These Articles of the Constitution of India contain a mandate to the State requiring it to assure a social order providing justice social, economic and political, by inter alia minimizing monetary inequalities, and by securing the right to adequate means of livelihood, and by providing for adequate wages so as to ensure, an appropriate standard of life, and by promoting economic interests of the weaker sections.
- 10. In view of the afore-stated constitutional mandate, equity and good conscience, in the matter of livelihood of the people of this country, has to be the basis of all governmental actions. An action of the State, ordering a recovery from an employee, would be in order, so long as it is not rendered iniquitous to the extent, that the action of recovery would be more unfair, more wrongful, more improper, and more unwarranted, than the corresponding right of the employer, to recover the amount. Or in other words, till such time as the recovery would have a harsh and arbitrary effect on the employee, it would be permissible in law. Orders passed in given situations repeatedly, even in exercise of the power vested in this Court under Article 142 of the Constitution of India, will disclose the parameters of the realm of an action of recovery (of an excess amount paid to an employee) which would breach the obligations of the State, to citizens of this country, and render the action arbitrary, and therefore, violative of the mandate contained in Article 14 of the Constitution of India.
- 11. For the above determination, we shall refer to some precedents of this Court wherein the question of recovery of the excess amount paid to employees, came up for consideration, and this Court disallowed the same. These are situations, in which High Courts all over the country, repeatedly and regularly set aside orders of recovery made on the expressed parameters.

(i). Reference may first of all be made to the decision in Syed Abdul Qadir v. State of Bihar, (2009) 3 SCC 475, wherein this Court recorded the following observation in paragraph 58:

"58. The relief against recovery is granted by courts not because of any right in the employees, but in equity, exercising judicial discretion to relieve the employees from the hardship that will be caused if recovery is ordered. But, if in a given case, it is proved that the employee had knowledge that the payment received was in excess of what was due or wrongly paid, or in cases where the error is detected or corrected within a short time of wrong payment, the matter being in the realm of judicial discretion, courts may, on the facts and circumstances of any particular case, order for recovery of the amount paid in excess. See Sahib Ram v. State of Haryana, 1995 Supp. (1) SCC 18, Shyam Babu Verma v. Union of India, (1994) 2 SCC 521, Union of India v. M. Bhaskar, (1996) 4 SCC 416, V. Ganga Ram v. Director, (1997) 6 SCC 139, Col. B.J. Akkara (Retd.) v. Govt. of India, (2006) 11 SCC 709, Purshottam Lal Das v. State of Bihar, (2006) 11 SCC 492, Punjab National Bank v. Manjeet Singh, (2006) 8 SCC 647 and Bihar SEB v. Bijay Bahadur, (2000) 10 SCC 99."

(emphasis is ours) First and foremost, it is pertinent to note, that this Court in its judgment in Syed Abdul Qadir's case (supra) recognized, that the issue of recovery revolved on the action being iniquitous. Dealing with the subject of the action being iniquitous, it was sought to be concluded, that when the excess unauthorised payment is detected within a short period of time, it would be open for the employer to recover the same. Conversely, if the payment had been made for a long duration of time, it would be iniquitous to make any recovery. Interference because an action is iniquitous, must really be perceived as, interference because the action is arbitrary. All arbitrary actions are truly, actions in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The logic of the action in the instant situation, is iniquitous, or arbitrary, or violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India, because it would be almost impossible for an employee to bear the financial burden, of a refund of payment received wrongfully for a long span of time. It is apparent, that a government employee is primarily dependent on his wages, and if a deduction is to be made from his/her wages, it should not be a deduction which would make it difficult for the employee to provide for the needs of his family. Besides food, clothing and shelter, an employee has to cater, not only to the education needs of those dependent upon him, but also their medical requirements, and a variety of sundry expenses. Based on the above consideration, we are of the view, that if the mistake of making a wrongful payment is detected within five years, it would be open to the employer to recover the same. However, if the payment is made for a period in excess of five years, even though it would be open to the employer to correct the mistake, it would be extremely iniquitous and arbitrary to seek a refund of the payments mistakenly made to the employee. In this context, reference may also be made to the decision rendered by this Court in Shyam Babu Verma v. Union of India (1994) 2 SCC 521, wherein this Court observed as under: "11. Although we have held that the petitioners were entitled only to the pay scale of Rs 330-480 in terms of the recommendations of the Third Pay Commission w.e.f. January 1, 1973 and only after the period of 10 years, they became entitled to the pay scale of Rs 330-560 but as they have received the scale of Rs 330-560 since 1973 due to no fault of theirs and that scale is being reduced in the year 1984 with effect from January 1, 1973, it shall only be just and proper not to recover any excess amount which has already been paid to them. Accordingly, we direct that no steps should be taken to recover or to adjust any excess amount paid to the petitioners due to the fault of the respondents, the petitioners being in no way responsible for

the same."

(emphasis is ours) It is apparent, that in Shyam Babu Verma's case (supra), the higher pay-scale commenced to be paid erroneously in 1973. The same was sought to be recovered in 1984, i.e., after a period of 11 years. In the aforesaid circumstances, this Court felt that the recovery after several years of the implementation of the pay-scale would not be just and proper. We therefore hereby hold, recovery of excess payments discovered after five years would be iniquitous and arbitrary, and as such, violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

(ii). Examining a similar proposition, this Court in Col. B.J. Akkara v. Government of India, (2006) 11 SCC 709, observed as under: "28. Such relief, restraining back recovery of excess payment, is granted by courts not because of any right in the employees, but in equity, in exercise of judicial discretion to relieve the employees from the hardship that will be caused if recovery is implemented. A government servant, particularly one in the lower rungs of service would spend whatever emoluments he receives for the upkeep of his family. If he receives an excess payment for a long period, he would spend it, genuinely believing that he is entitled to it. As any subsequent action to recover the excess payment will cause undue hardship to him, relief is granted in that behalf. But where the employee had knowledge that the payment received was in excess of what was due or wrongly paid, or where the error is detected or corrected within a short time of wrong payment, courts will not grant relief against recovery. The matter being in the realm of judicial discretion, courts may on the facts and circumstances of any particular case refuse to grant such relief against recovery."

(emphasis is ours) A perusal of the aforesaid observations made by this Court in Col. B.J. Akkara's case (supra) reveals a reiteration of the legal position recorded in the earlier judgments rendered by this Court, inasmuch as, it was again affirmed, that the right to recover would be sustainable so long as the same was not iniquitous or arbitrary. In the observation extracted above, this Court also recorded, that recovery from employees in lower rung of service, would result in extreme hardship to them. The apparent explanation for the aforesaid conclusion is, that employees in lower rung of service would spend their entire earnings in the upkeep and welfare of their family, and if such excess payment is allowed to be recovered from them, it would cause them far more hardship, than the reciprocal gains to the employer. We are therefore satisfied in concluding, that such recovery from employees belonging to the lower rungs (i.e., Class-III and Class-IV - sometimes denoted as Group 'C' and Group 'D') of service, should not be subjected to the ordeal of any recovery, even though they were beneficiaries of receiving higher emoluments, than were due to them. Such recovery would be iniquitous and arbitrary and therefore would also breach the mandate contained in Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

(iii). This Court in Syed Abdul Qadir v. State of Bihar (supra) held as follows:

"59. Undoubtedly, the excess amount that has been paid to the appellant teachers was not because of any misrepresentation or fraud on their part and the appellants also had no knowledge that the amount that was being paid to them was more than what they were entitled to. It would not be out of place to mention here that the Finance Department had, in its counter- affidavit, admitted that it

was a bona fide mistake on their part. The excess payment made was the result of wrong interpretation of the Rule that was applicable to them, for which the appellants cannot be held responsible. Rather, the whole confusion was because of inaction, negligence and carelessness of the officials concerned of the Government of Bihar. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant teachers submitted that majority of the beneficiaries have either retired or are on the verge of it. Keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case at hand and to avoid any hardship to the appellant teachers, we are of the view that no recovery of the amount that has been paid in excess to the appellant teachers should be made."

(emphasis is ours) Premised on the legal proposition considered above, namely, whether on the touchstone of equity and arbitrariness, the extract of the judgment reproduced above, culls out yet another consideration, which would make the process of recovery iniquitous and arbitrary. It is apparent from the conclusions drawn in Syed Abdul Qadir's case (supra), that recovery of excess payments, made from employees who have retired from service, or are close to their retirement, would entail extremely harsh consequences outweighing the monetary gains by the employer. It cannot be forgotten, that a retired employee or an employee about to retire, is a class apart from those who have sufficient service to their credit, before their retirement. Needless to mention, that at retirement, an employee is past his youth, his needs are far in excess of what they were when he was younger. Despite that, his earnings have substantially dwindled (or would substantially be reduced on his retirement). Keeping the aforesaid circumstances in mind, we are satisfied that recovery would be iniquitous and arbitrary, if it is sought to be made after the date of retirement, or soon before retirement. A period within one year from the date of superannuation, in our considered view, should be accepted as the period during which the recovery should be treated as iniquitous. Therefore, it would be justified to treat an order of recovery, on account of wrongful payment made to an employee, as arbitrary, if the recovery is sought to be made after the employee's retirement, or within one year of the date of his retirement on superannuation.

- (iv). Last of all, reference may be made to the decision in Sahib Ram Verma v. Union of India, (1995) Supp. 1 SCC 18, wherein it was concluded as under:
- "4. Mr. Prem Malhotra, learned counsel for the appellant, contended that the previous scale of Rs 220-550 to which the appellant was entitled became Rs 700-1600 since the appellant had been granted that scale of pay in relaxation of the educational qualification. The High Court was, therefore, not right in dismissing the writ petition. We do not find any force in this contention. It is seen that the Government in consultation with the University Grants Commission had revised the pay scale of a Librarian working in the colleges to Rs 700-1600 but they insisted upon the minimum educational qualification of first or second class M.A., M.Sc., M.Com. plus a first or second class B.Lib. Science or a Diploma in Library Science. The relaxation given was only as regards obtaining first or second class in the prescribed educational qualification but not relaxation in the educational qualification itself.
- 5. Admittedly the appellant does not possess the required educational qualifications. Under the circumstances the appellant would not be entitled to the relaxation. The Principal erred in granting him the relaxation. Since the date of relaxation the appellant had been paid his salary on the revised

scale. However, it is not on account of any misrepresentation made by the appellant that the benefit of the higher pay scale was given to him but by wrong construction made by the Principal for which the appellant cannot be held to be at fault. Under the circumstances the amount paid till date may not be recovered from the appellant. The principle of equal pay for equal work would not apply to the scales prescribed by the University Grants Commission. The appeal is allowed partly without any order as to costs."

(emphasis is ours) It would be pertinent to mention, that Librarians were equated with Lecturers, for the grant of the pay scale of Rs.700-1600. The above pay parity would extend to Librarians, subject to the condition that they possessed the prescribed minimum educational qualification (first or second class M.A., M.Sc., M.Com. plus a first or second class B.Lib. Science or a Diploma in Library Science, the degree of M.Lib. Science being a preferential qualification). For those Librarians appointed prior to 3.12.1972, the educational qualifications were relaxed. In Sahib Ram Verma's case (supra), a mistake was committed by wrongly extending to the appellants the revised pay scale, by relaxing the prescribed educational qualifications, even though the concerned appellants were ineligible for the same. The concerned appellants were held not eligible for the higher scale, by applying the principle of "equal pay for equal work". This Court, in the above circumstances, did not allow the recovery of the excess payment. This was apparently done because this Court felt that the employees were entitled to wages, for the post against which they had discharged their duties. In the above view of the matter, we are of the opinion, that it would be iniquitous and arbitrary for an employer to require an employee to refund the wages of a higher post, against which he had wrongfully been permitted to work, though he should have rightfully been required to work against an inferior post.

- 12. It is not possible to postulate all situations of hardship, which would govern employees on the issue of recovery, where payments have mistakenly been made by the employer, in excess of their entitlement. Be that as it may, based on the decisions referred to herein above, we may, as a ready reference, summarise the following few situations, wherein recoveries by the employers, would be impermissible in law:
- (i) Recovery from employees belonging to Class-III and Class-IV service (or Group 'C' and Group 'D' service).
- (ii) Recovery from retired employees, or employees who are due to retire within one year, of the order of recovery.
- (iii) Recovery from employees, when the excess payment has been made for a period in excess of five years, before the order of recovery is issued.
- (iv) Recovery in cases where an employee has wrongfully been required to discharge duties of a higher post, and has been paid accordingly, even though he should have rightfully been required to work against an inferior post.

- (v) In any other case, where the Court arrives at the conclusion, that recovery if made from the employee, would be iniquitous or harsh or arbitrary to such an extent, as would far outweigh the equitable balance of the employer's right to recover.
- 13. We are informed by the learned counsel representing the appellant- State of Punjab, that all the cases in this bunch of appeals, would undisputedly fall within the first four categories delineated hereinabove. In the appeals referred to above, therefore, the impugned orders passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana (quashing the order of recovery), shall be deemed to have been upheld, for the reasons recorded above.

14. The appeals are disposed of in the above terms.
J.
(Jagdish Singh Khehar)J.
(Arun Mishra) New Delhi;
December 18, 2014.