

WP-1897-2016

(JULEE JAIN Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

02-02-2016

Shri Om Shankar Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Piyush Jain, learned P.L. for the respondents/State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with Mayank Jain on her own volition. It is submitted that the petitioner is under threat because of said marriage which has been solemnized against the wish of her family members.

Considering the aforesaid, this petition is disposed of by permitting the petitioner to apprise the respondent Nos.2 to 4 about the threat. If such representation is preferred, the said respondents shall deal with it in accordance with law by taking into account the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another*** [Writ Petition (Crl) 208 of 2004]. If such representation is preferred, it will be the duty of the respondents to provide adequate protection to the petitioner in consonance with the said judgment.

Petition is **disposed of**.

(SUJOY PAUL)
JUDGE