

1

WP-23058-2022

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT INDORE

**BEFORE** 

### HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SUBODH ABHYANKAR ON THE 1<sup>st</sup> OF MARCH, 2025

### WRIT PETITION No. 23058 of 2022

#### RAMLAL PATEL

Versus

# THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH FOREST DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS Appearance:


Ms. Anchan Pandey - advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mradula Sen -GA appearing on behalf of Advocate General.

#### ORDER

- 1] With the consent, heard finally.
- 2] During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties agreed that this petition may be disposed of in terms of the order passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court at principal seat Jabalpur *in WP No.20032/2020 (Kanhaiyalal Damde Vs. State of M.P.)* decided on 5/7/2022 (Annexure P-3).
- 3] In view of the consensus arrived at, this petition is disposed of by directing that the order passed in the case of *Kanhaiyalal Damde*(supra) shall be applied *mutatis mutandis* with full force to the present petitioner.
- 4] The respondents are directed to act in accordance with the direction contained in *Kanhaiyalal Damde (supra)*.
  - 5] The petition stands disposed of.

(SUBODH ABHYANKAR) JUDGE





#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, BENCH AT INDORE CASE NO.: WP-23058-2022 ( DISPOSED )

#### **APPLICANT DETAILS:**

**Application No.:** 6932/2025 Applicant Name: OM SHANKAR PANDEY Type: Express PARTY DETAILS: Petitioner RAMLAL PATEL S/o LATE SHRI RAMDEEN PATEL, Aged about 70 years, UNEMPLOYEE, R/o CH 61 PT. DEENDAYAL UPADHYAY NAGAR INDORE, District- Indore (Madhya Pradesh) THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH FOREST DEPARTMENT, THROUGH FOREST Respondent SECRETARY , R/o VALLABH BHAWAN BHOPAL, District- Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) DEPUTY SECRETARY FOREST DEPARTMENT, R/o BHOPAL, District- Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FOREST FOREST DEPARTMENT, R/o SATPURA BHAWAN BHOPAL, District- Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) Advocates Details: OM SHANKAR PANDEY,ANCHAN PANDEY,MAYANK SONI,DEEPAK KUMAR SHARMA Petitioner Advocates ADVOCATE GENERAL,ADVOCATE GENERAL[R-2],ADVOCATE GENERAL[R-3],RAJWARDHAN Respondent Advocates GAWDE[R-1],RAJWARDHAN GAWDE[R-2],RAJWARDHAN GAWDE[R-3] LAST LISTED ON DETAILS: HONBLE SHRI JUSTICE SUBODH ABHYANKAR Judge Date 01-03-2025 LOWER COURT DETAILS: Ref.Case-0-Case Type District BHOPAL Police Staion /0 Crime No. Judge **Decision Date** 02-05-2006 EXTRA DETAILS: Subject Heading/Category/Sub-(1) SERVICE RELATING TO STATE GOVT.-17100 / Retirement Benefit Cum Category Pension-17139/-01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION Provision of law Act- U/Section Brief Description of the Judgment/ AGAINST ORDER DATED 09.11.20211 AND 02.05.2006 Order/Award impugned

Des of Relief Claimed

that I Sh. Mukesh Kumar Verma (name) am employed as Head Copyist in the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Main Seat Jabalpur.

PETITION MAY KINDLY BE ALLOWED AND QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDERS

- 2 that the High Court of M.P. is engaged in dispensation of Justice and various activities connected there to.
- 3 that by virtue of my employment as Head Copyist, I am authorized to use the computer system in High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur.
- 4 that the computer terminals of the system used by me were functioning regularly to store or process information at all times.
- 5 that the contents of the certified copy are retireved from my computer stored in High Court Server which was scanned through original records verified and digitally signed by the competent authority and certified by me.
- that the above certificate, therefore, in the facts and circustances of the case, is sufficient compliance of Section 65B of the 6 Evidence Act. The above certified copies/ print outs as taken out from the computer, therefore, can be treated as certified
- Accordingly, I certify that the certifed copy of the desired document annexedhereto is/are reproduced or is derived from the electronic record which was regularly fed into/transmitted through my computer termianl in High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur, in the ordinary course of activites. During the activity the computer system utilized by me were operating properly and there is no distortion in the accuracy of the contents of the certified copy of the desired document.

**Head Copyist** Sh. Mukesh Kumar Verma

| Certified Copy Key                                      | App. No.  | Delivery Date | Amount (Rs/-) | Purpose | CRN                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| hkmw - qie6 - 1jd8                                      | 6932/2025 | 03-03-2025    | 31            | Private | LAW003003032025010103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valid along with certificate of the authorized officer. |           |               |               |         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## GCPB-1982-DCGPB-18-3-05-1,00,000

II-10 C.J.

| received   | Applicant<br>told to<br>appear<br>on | appeared       | with or without further /correct particulars ) sent to Record | record or without record, and for further or correct particulars, if any required) received from the Record Keeper / | notice for<br>further or | notice for<br>further | Sr.No. (6)<br>or (7)<br>complied | Copy ready | delivered      | Court-<br>fee<br>realized |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1.         | 2.                                   | 3.             | 4.  | 5.   | 6.                       | 7.                    | 8.                               | 9.         | 10.            | 11.                       |
| 03-03-2025 | 06-03-<br>2025                       | 03-03-<br>2025 |   |  |                          |                       |                                  | 10.3-      | 03-03-<br>2025 | 16                        |

Copyist Head Copyist